

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/617,917	07/10/2003	Wolfgang Neuberger	BJA338D	4236	
7590 04/03/2006			EXAM	EXAMINER	
BOLESH J. SKUTNIK PhD. JD			SHAY, DAVID M		
515 Shaker Road East Longmeadow, MA 01028			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3735	· · · · ·	
			DATE MAILED: 04/03/200	DATE MAILED: 04/03/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

_
//
~
$\overline{}$

Advisory Action

Applicant(s)
NEUBERGER, WOLFGANG
Art Unit
3735
_

Potoro the Eiling of an Annual Drief				
before	the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit	
		david shay	3735	
The	MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FIL	ED March 14, 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.	
this applic places the a Request time period		wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o ce with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply mo	idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	rce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
b) The pe no eve Examir	triod for reply expires 3_months from the mailing date riod for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Ant, however, will the statutory period for reply expire let Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejecti	on.
have been filed is under 37 CFR 1.1 set forth in (b) abo	may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date the date for purposes of determining the period of ex 7(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the ve, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late arned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) PEAL	dension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropri inally set in the final Offi	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
filing the N a Notice o	e of Appeal was filed on A brief in composition of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extended for Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed.	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>	•			
(a) They	osed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, or raise new issues that would require further co or raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	onsideration and/or search (see NO ow);	TE below);	
, , _ .	are not deemed to place the application in be eal; and/or	tter form for appeal by materially re	ducing or simplifying	the issues for
(d) 🔲 The	present additional claims without canceling a		ected claims.	
	TE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		manliant Amandmant	(DTOL 224)
=	dments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		impliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
6. Newly pro	s reply has overcome the following rejection(s) posed or amended claim(s) would be a ble claim(s).	llowable if submitted in a separate,		,
how the ne The status Claim(s) a			ll be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) w	bjected to: ejected: ithdrawn from consideration: OTHER EVIDENCE		·	
8. The affidate because a was not ea	vit or other evidence filed after a final action, but pplicant failed to provide a showing of good an order presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	d sufficient reasons why the affidav	vit or other evidence is	s necessary and
entered be showing a	vit or other evidence filed after the date of filing ecause the affidavit or other evidence failed to good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a 1).
	avit or other evidence is entered. An explanation RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attact	ned.
See Con	est for reconsideration has been considered by tinuation Sheet.			
12. Note the	attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	No(s)derl	\$2
			DAVID M. SH PRIMARY EXAM	

GROUP 330

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The publications submitted do not show that the examiner's interpretation is exclused from the proper definition of the term "leaky", for example, the fiber-optics glossary from which one of the submissions was gleaned does not have a definition for the term leak posted on its page for terms beginning with "L".